UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,403	09/29/2005	Goro Shiraishi	S1459.70086US00	4461
	7590 08/17/201 IFIELD & SACKS, P.0	EXAMINER		
600 ATLANTI	C AVENUE		MILLIKIN, ANDREW R	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,403	SHIRAISHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW R. MILLIKIN	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 and 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 2,3,6,8-10,12,13,16 and 18-20 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 2,3,6,8-10,12,13,16 and 18-20 is/are 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	»□····-	(DTO 440)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)	Oate	

Application/Control Number: 10/551,403 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 2-3, 6, 12-13, & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,614,687, hereafter '687) in view of Herberger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,518,492, hereafter '492).
- Claims 2 & 12: '687 teaches a tempo analyzing apparatus comprising: a peak detecting means for detecting positions of a plurality of ones, higher than a predetermined threshold, of peaks of change in level of an input sound signal; a time interval detecting means for detecting a time interval between peak positions (at least

Application/Control Number: 10/551,403

Art Unit: 2832

one reference and one or more others) detected by the peak detecting means in a predetermined unit-time interval; and an identifying means for identifying a tempo of sound to be reproduced with the sound signal on a basis of a frequently occurring one of the time intervals detected by the time interval detecting means (see abstract). '687 also teaches an image display device (10, 12) and a display controlling means (5, 9, 10) for causing an image to be displayed on the image display device, the image corresponding to the tempo identified by the identifying means.

Page 3

'687 does not explicitly teach an interval frequency detecting means for identifying a frequently occurring one of the time intervals detected by the time interval detecting means. However, '492 teaches that it is preferable to obtain a plurality of BPM estimates so that the best one can be chosen (col. 8, lines 36-38). '492 also teaches that using an interval frequency detecting means for identifying frequently occurring time intervals in a musical piece allows the most frequently occurring time interval to be identified as the BPM for the musical work (col. 8, lines 8-24; Figs. 1 & 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an interval frequency detecting means for identifying frequently occurring time intervals in musical pieces such as the one presented in '492 with the device described in '687 in order to allow the most frequently occurring time interval to be identified as the BPM for the musical work. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used multiple BPM determining methods (such as those presented in '492 and '687) in order to obtain a plurality of BPM estimates so that the best one could be chosen.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

'492 teaches an identifying means that accumulates a frequency of occurrence of each time interval between beats (which '492 identifies as the positions of peaks in col. 6, lines 25-30) detected in a plurality of unit-time intervals and identifying the tempo of the sound to be reproduced with the sound signal on the basis of a maximum one among all the accumulated frequencies of time interval occurrence (cols. 7-8 & Figs. 1-5). At the very least, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have tried using the BPM determining technique of '492 (finding a most frequently occurring time interval between beats) with the device described in '687 since it is a known suitable technique for determining BPM.

'492 teaches that identifying the tempo of the sound on the basis of a maximum one among all the accumulated frequencies of time interval occurrence need not necessarily rely upon any autocorrelation calculation or beat structure analysis (see paragraph bridging cols. 7 & 8; see also Figs. 1 & 5).

Claims 2, 6, & 16: '687 teaches the apparatus according to claims 2 & 3, further comprising: a volume calculating means for calculating a volume of the input sound signal; and a threshold setting means for setting a threshold used to detect a peak position with reference to the volume calculated by the volume calculating means, the positions including at least one reference position (column 3, lines 23-29).

Claims 3 & 13: '687 teaches the apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising: a frequency band extracting means for extracting an input signal into a plurality of frequency bands ('687 divides the input sound signal into three bands: a lower stopped band; a passed band; and a higher stopped band) (column 3, lines 14-

Art Unit: 2832

17), and the peak detecting means detecting the peak positions for each of at least one or more ones of the plurality of frequency bands extracted by the frequency band dividing means; the time interval detecting means detecting a time interval between peak positions (at least one reference and one or more others) detected for each of at least one or more frequency bands by the peak detecting means; and the identifying means identifying the tempo of sound to be reproduced on the basis of the frequently occurring one of the time intervals detected for each of at least one or more frequency bands (column 3, lines 58-67 & column 4, lines 1-4).

4. Claims 8, 10, 18, & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '687 & '492, as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Yamauchi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,140,565, hereafter '565).

Claims 8, 10, 18, & 20: '687 teaches the method according to claim 12, but does not teach selectively reading video data from a plurality of video data stored in a storage means on the basis of the identified tempo; and displaying an image corresponding to the read video data on an image display device. '565 teaches selectively reading video data from a plurality of video data stored in a storage means on the basis of the identified tempo (column 13, lines 5-26); and displaying an image corresponding to the read video data on an image display device (column 16, lines 20-21; see Fig. 1, top right) in order to provide a method for visually representing a music system (column 1, lines 65-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the method of visualizing music

Application/Control Number: 10/551,403 Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

of '565 with the method of determining tempo of '687 in order to have provided a visual representation of the music system.

Claims 10, 20: '565 teaches selectively reading a plurality of video data stored in a storage means on the basis of calculated sound volume (column 3, lines 20-28).

5. Claims 9 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '687, '492, & '565, as applied to claims 8 & 18 above, and further in view of Kellock et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0027369, hereafter '369).

'565 teaches the method according to claim 18, but doesn't teach the step of controlling size, moving speed and moving pattern of the image to be displayed on the image display device. '369 teaches that controlling size, moving speed and moving pattern of an image to be displayed on an image display device [0051, 0086] helps allow for automated editing of digital video [0008]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have controlled the size, moving speed, and moving pattern of the visual representation of '565 in order to have allowed for better automated editing of the digital video output.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10 June 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,403

Art Unit: 2832

7. Applicant argues that the references cited do not teach a volume calculating means for calculating a volume of a sound signal extracted by frequency band extracting means, a threshold setting means for setting a threshold used to detect a peak of change in level with reference to the volume calculated by the volume calculating means, and a peak detecting means for detecting positions for a plurality of ones, higher than a predetermined threshold, of peaks of change in level of the sound signal extracted by the frequency band extracting means, with the peaks of change in level being detected in accordance with the threshold set by the threshold setting means. Examiner disagrees. For example, as noted above, Yamada teaches: a volume calculating means for calculating a volume of a sound signal extracted by frequency band extracting means, a threshold setting means for setting a threshold used to detect a peak of change in level with reference to the volume calculated by the volume calculating means, and a peak detecting means for detecting positions for a plurality of ones, higher than a predetermined threshold, of peaks of change in level of the sound signal extracted by the frequency band extracting means, with the peaks of change in level being detected in accordance with the threshold set by the threshold setting means (col. 3, lines 23-29).

Page 7

8. Applicant further argues that the claimed peak detecting and time interval detecting means are not provided by the references. Examiner disagrees and points out that Yamada does teach these concepts. See rejection of claim 2 above.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW R. MILLIKIN whose telephone number is (571)270-1265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 7:30-5 and 7:30-4 Alternating Fridays (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew R. Millikin/ Examiner, Art Unit 2832 Application/Control Number: 10/551,403 Page 9

Art Unit: 2832

/Jeffrey Donels/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2832